

From: Hein Verbruggen [REDACTED]

Sent: maandag 2 juni 2014 21:26

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd: CIRC

>

> Dear CIRC-Members,

>

> Thank you for your May 14th mail.

>

> I intend to send you information and documents on several subjects in the coming weeks.

>

> However, before doing so, and after a careful study of ToR in combination with your last mail, I just want to make sure that we are on the same page as to the following:

>

> 1. Art. 3 of the ToR seems to indicate that you only look at "allegations over ineffective investigation of doping practices". The first question I would like to ask is if the CIRC looks also into "effective investigations", meaning all the efforts that were made by the UCI in the fight against doping? Will the CIRC, and this is the logical second question, also compare the UCI-efforts and actions with other IF's, the IOC, WADA, NADO's etc.; is this what is meant with "investigating other governing bodies and officials?"

>

> 2. Art. 4 of the ToR speaks about " understanding the roots and mechanism of the endemic problem of doping in cycling". Obviously, this will be a crucial part of your mission and I think, in all modesty, that I will be able to give a considerable contribution to this "sociological" chapter. However, there transpires a certain degree of prejudice from this article by giving the impression that the "endemic character" of doping is exclusively the case in cycling. I am perhaps allowed to refer to the 2 chapters I sent you from the book of author Hans Vandewhege, proving quite clearly that there is little or no evidence or proof to accept that "endemic" applies exclusively to cycling. Could I ask for some clarification please?

>

> 3. Needless to underline the element of prejudice to be found also in Art. 5: ".....make the changes necessary to avoid repeating mistakes in the future". With the publicity made by Mr. Cookson on the word "wrongdoings", it is clear what is meant here by "avoiding mistakes" and in the interest of objectivity I might have hoped that you would have taken into account the possibility that there were no "wrongdoings" at all. Is that possibility still taken into account?

>

>

> Finally, could I ask for a confirmation that my letters, sent to Mr. Cookson, are in your possession, in particular my letter of November 13, 2013. A number of the issues that I would like you to investigate (and on which I intend to send you information and documentation) are clearly laid out in that letter.

>

>

> Sincerely,

>

>

> Hein Verbruggen

>

>>

>>