

From: Hein Verbruggen [REDACTED]
Sent: vrijdag 31 januari 2014 9:17
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: IC
Attachments: Mail Brian Cookson 13 Nov 13.docx; ATT00013.htm; Mail exchange HV and BC Jan 14.docx; ATT00016.htm

Dear Mr. Haas,

I take the liberty to write you concerning the investigation that was ordered by the UCI. I do it in this way, as I found no other information on how to contact the Commission or one of its members. And I feel that I have to do so, as the messages that I sent to the UCI were not passed on to the Commission members in spite of my repeated requests to that end.

I am addressing you (in attachment) the mail that I sent today to Mr. Brian Cookson, UCI-President, as well as my mail to Mr Cookson dated 14 November 2013. I would appreciate if you could forward these mails to the two other IC-Members since I do not know their mail addresses.

I wish to explain that, as all three of you can see in my November 14th-letter to the UCI-President, I am prepared to offer my full cooperation to an IC, but not without knowing what exactly will be the mission and tasks of such an IC. As you will appreciate, I want to make sure that not only my role will be investigated but also of a number of other persons and instances. In addition I have declared myself in favor of an "as broad as possible" investigation.

I have several times asked an answer from Mr Cookson; I have written a second letter and I have requested more than once that the potential IC-Members should receive copies of my mails. A week ago I have been informed by Mr. Cookson that he has not given any copies to you. Hence my mail of today to him.

I want to stress that I stick to my letter of November 14th last. I have a keen interest in a solid and neutral/objective IC. But if I read that the IC has been briefed unilaterally by the UCI/Mr. Cookson; that WADA (according to its President) has closely worked with the UCI to establish the IC, its role and (likely) its briefing whereas I believe that also WADA's actions should be scrutinized and –finally- that my legitimate requests have not been passed to you as the (potential) IC-Members whilst you may have had your input in the terms of reference, I have to declare my reserves as to Mr. Cookson's intentions with this IC. One should not forget that "the investigation into potential wrongdoings" has played an important role in the recent election campaign; I have no intention to be made part of internal UCI-politics that would be reflected in particular in a limitation of the scope of the investigation.

Needless to say that this is in no way an expression of non-confidence in the three IC-Members. It is solely an expression of deep worries against the procedure as applied by Mr. Cookson also seen in the light of what he has publicly declared about me and my Presidency, witnessing little or no objectivity towards me.

Allow me to present my apologies for bothering you with this subject.

Sincerely,

Hein Verbruggen